

margant L'agguer (Steum) 1694 E. Dackside Lane Camerillo, Calif 93010

Name, Address and Telephone Number of Attorney(s)

FOURT AND SCHECHTER
305 Central Building
21 South California Street
P. O. Box 1371
Ventura, California 93001
Telephone: 648-2831

Attorney(s) for Petitioner

Spoce Base for the of Court Carl Cal

OCT - 7 1974

ROBERT L. HAMM. County Clerk
By LINDA L. SMITH
Deputy County Clerk

ENTERED ON \_\_\_\_\_ O(1) The 1975

BOOK 16 OF JUDGMENTS, PAGE 315

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF VENTURA

In re the marriage of

Petitioner: MARGARET VAZQUEZ

and

Respondent: ROLAND HENRY VAZQUEZ and PLAZA MARINA COMMERCIAL CORPORATION, a California Corporation.

CASE NUMBER

D-62407

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT OF DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

This proceeding was known for trial before the Honorable MARVIN H. LEWIS, Judge then presiding in Department "A" of the Oxnard Branch of the above-entitled MARVIN Court on May 7, 1973, after assignment from Department "I"\*

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent/on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent on husband June 1911

The court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent of the respondent of the property of the pro

Service of process on that date and respondent having appeared.

CONTRACTION

Respondent on that date having appeared.

265 - G3 - 1- 5

The court orders that an interlocutory judgment be entered declaring that the parties are entitled to have their marriage dissolved. This interlocutory judgment does not constitute a final dissolution of marriage and the parties are still married and will be, and neither party may remarry, until a final judgment of dissolution is entered.

The court also orders that, unless both parties file their consent to a dismissal of this proceeding, a final judgment of dissolution be entered upon proper application of either party or on the court's own motion after the expiration of at least six months from the date the court acquired jurisdiction of the respondent. The final judgment shall include such other and further relief as may be necessary to a complete disposition of this proceeding, but entry of the final judgment shall not deprive this court of its jurisdiction over any matter expressly reserved to it in this or the final judgment until a final aisy and another another the such matter.

\* (Master Calendar Department of said Court). Trial of said matter continued from day to day thereafter. THOMAS LISLE SCHECHTER, Esquire of FOURT AND SCHECHTER, Attorneys at Law, appeared as attorney for Peritioner MARGARET VAZQUEZ, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "wife", and JACK H. BERKOWITZ, Esquire, Attorney at Law, appeared as attorney for Joth Respondent ROLAND HENRY VAZQUEZ, hereinafter sometimes referred to as "husband" and Respondent PLAZA MARINA COMMERICAL CORPORATION, a

Form Adopted by Rule 1287 of Judicial Council of California Effective January 1, 1970

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT OF DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE

CC 270 (Rev. 11/89)

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

328 RV.2

ĨΜ

**W**I